

## Dirt Track & Track Commission Meeting Minutes

### FINAL - MAY

Minutes of the Dirt Track & Track Commission meeting held on the 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2014 at the MA offices.

**PRESENT:** Chairman – Les Thomas  
Keith Davies  
Peter Baker

**APOLOGY:**

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Bronwyn Sorensen

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT543	Welcome / Apologies	LT	The Chairman welcomed those present and declared the meeting opened	Received	-	-
DT544	Confirmation of minutes	LT	Minutes of the last meeting in 2013 were received as true and correct	-	-	-
DT545	21.6.1. & 20.7.0.3.	Simon Rogerson	Track- 21.6.1  Dirt Track – 20.7.0.3  Junior 85cc 2 stroke, 150cc 4 stroke slider only class  13-16 years	I am proposing to change the age for this junior class to 11-16 yrs I believe that the dirt track sliders are as safe or if not safer than most of the current dirt bikes that run in this class as the dirt track sliders are made for this type of racing. Therefore I cannot see any logical reason that kids we are trying to entice to our sport should not be able to race in this class on a slider machine at a younger age.	Commission does not support this recommendation at this time.  This change would require separating juniors into two groups to avoid conflict with GCR 20.7.1.3 c)  Commission does not believe there are sufficient competitor numbers to warrant this change.	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT546	Electric bikes	Pete Goddard	Does not exist	<p>We would like MA to consider including Electric Motorcycles into the Manual of Motorcycle Sport for all or suitable Junior disciplines for 2014 please, to compete with and against petrol bikes for all of Australia.</p> <p>Minikhana Trials Dirt Track Pocket bike Motocross Enduro etc</p> <p>This will particularly open our sport and your entry level classes to electric bikes which are now at quite a good level and becoming readily available, well priced, low maintenance and cheap to run.</p> <p>Additionally electric only events can be run in almost any location as there is no noise issues</p>	<p>Commission supports the introduction of electric bikes subject to consideration for the following: horse power/Kw and weight limits, appropriate classes.</p> <p>In the interests of uniformity, agreement on these issues will require discussion across the other mentioned disciplines.</p>	
DT547	Green flag protocol	DRC		<p>Recommendation is to bring MA's green flag protocols in line with the FIM.</p> <p>Currently there is some confusion amongst officials as to which protocol is correct to use and when (e.g. International Island Classic).</p> <hr/> <p><b>1.22 Flags and Lights</b></p> <p>Marshals and other officials display flags or lights to provide information and/or convey instructions to the riders. <b>All flags are presented waved.</b></p> <p><b>1.22.1 Flags and Lights Used to Provide Information:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Green Flag</b> The track is clear This flag must be <b>waved</b> at each flag marshal post for the first lap of each practice session and of the warm up, for the sighting lap and for the warm up lap. This flag must be shown <b>waved</b> at the flag marshal post immediately after the incident that necessitated the use of one or more yellow flags. When the pit-lane exit is open, this flag must be waved at the pit-lane exit.</li> <li>• <b>Yellow and Red Striped Flag</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>Commission supports this recommendation.</b></p>	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision						
DT548	20.1 and 20.4	Alan Myer	<p>20.1 CATEGORIES FOR SENIOR AUSTRALIAN DIRT CHAMPIONSHIPS CLASSES CAPACITIES</p> <p>**under 19 150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</p> <p>**Pro 250 100cc to 150cc 2-stroke &amp; 200cc to 250cc 4-stroke</p> <p>Pro 450 250cc 2-stroke &amp; 450cc 4-stroke</p> <p>250 Slider 150cc 2-stroke &amp; 250cc 4-stroke</p> <p>**Pro Open Unlimited (MX &amp; Slider)</p> <p>500cc Slider Up to 500cc Slider</p> <p>Pro Open</p> <p>Women</p> <p>Unlimited</p> <p>Sidecar Up to 1100cc</p> <p>ATV Pro Up to 350cc 2-stroke &amp; 450cc 4-stroke</p> <p>ATV Open Up to 550cc 2-stroke &amp; 700cc 4-stroke</p> <p>ATV Women(Open)Up to 550cc 2-stroke &amp; 700cc 4-stroke</p>	<p>20.1 CATEGORIES FOR SENIOR AUSTRALIAN DIRT CHAMPIONSHIPS CLASSES CAPACITIES</p> <p>**under 19 150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</p> <p>**Pro 250 100cc to 150cc 2-stroke &amp; 200cc to 250cc 4-stroke</p> <p>Pro 450 250cc 2-stroke &amp; 450cc 4-stroke</p> <p>250 Slider 150cc 2-stroke &amp; 250cc 4-stroke</p> <p><b>MX Open 500cc 2 Stroke &amp; Over 460cc 4 stroke</b></p> <p>**Pro Open Unlimited (MX &amp; Slider)</p> <p>500cc Slider Up to 500cc Slider</p> <p>Pro Open</p> <p>Women</p> <p>Unlimited</p> <p>Sidecar Up to 1100cc</p> <p>ATV Pro Up to 350cc 2-stroke &amp; 450cc 4-stroke</p> <p>ATV Open Up to 550cc 2-stroke &amp; 700cc 4-stroke</p> <p>ATV Women (Open)Up to 550cc 2-stroke &amp; 700cc 4-stroke</p> <p>Note: Machines can only be entered in one class excluding Pro Open and U19 class at same meeting Number Plates Colour to match Class</p>	<p><b>Commission supports the introduction of this class into 20.1, 21.1, 21.4 and 20.4.</b></p> <p><b>Viability of class is recommended for review at end of 2016.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 464 1727 683"> <tr> <td>Pro Open (MX &amp; Slider)</td> <td>Unlimited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>MX Open</b></td> <td><b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>**500cc Slider</td> <td>Up to 500cc Slider</td> </tr> </table>	Pro Open (MX & Slider)	Unlimited	<b>MX Open</b>	<b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b>	**500cc Slider	Up to 500cc Slider	
Pro Open (MX & Slider)	Unlimited											
<b>MX Open</b>	<b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b>											
**500cc Slider	Up to 500cc Slider											

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT549	Recreational activity	Tim Hewitt	there is currently no formal requirement for an entry form to be available , nor a rider's briefing to be delivered , at a practice / recreational event . whilst gcr 7.1.1.1 m ) places the onus of completing an entry form upon the participant , currently there is no requirement for clubs / promoters to actually provide these at a practice / recreational event.	<p>suggest additional rules</p> <p>6.8.0.2 an entry form must be made available to all participants by the club / promoter conducting the recreational activity .</p> <p>6.8.0.3 participants in the recreational activity must complete the entry form</p> <p>6.8.0.4 - all participants in the recreational activity must attend a rider's briefing prior to participation.</p> <p>whilst the common requirement for participants to sign an indemnity form satisfies a minimum insurance requirement , machine details - which become vital in the instance where a junior is participating - and other information such as licence expiry that is completed as part of an entry form - are not recorded.</p>	Commission supports the maintenance of formalities such as rider briefings at practice and recreational events. Commission does not believe an entry form is required at practice events in the disciplines of Dirt Track and Track.	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT550	21.4	John Castles	21.4 senior comp classes Under 19 Pro 250 Pro 450 Pro open ( mx slider) Pro open women	Propose 21.4 senior comp classes Under 19 (mx frame only) Pro 250 (mx frame only) Pro 450(mx frame only) Pro open ( mx frame only) Pro open women (mx frame only)	<p>Commission does not support this recommendation in its entirety.</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 584 1727 707"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1442 584 1585 612">CLASSES</th> <th data-bbox="1585 584 1727 612">CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 612 1585 641">**Under 19</td> <td data-bbox="1585 612 1727 707">150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows: Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250ee</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. <b>All machines must have one effective brake where MX and slider classes are combined for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</b></p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT551	21.4.0.1	John Castles	21.4.0.1 Where there are insufficient entries for the 250cc Slider class, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. Machines must have one effective brake for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes. Brakes are not fitted for the 500cc slider class.	Delete rule 21.4.0.1. Slider machines should only be eligible to race against other slider machines. If there are insufficient numbers in the 250cc or 500cc slider classes then they may be combined. It is unsafe to have sliders and MX frames machines compete against each other.	<p>Commission does not support this recommendation, Commission recommends the following as per DT550:</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 628 1727 751"> <thead> <tr> <th>CLASSES</th> <th>CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>**Under 19</td> <td>150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows: Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. All machines must have one effective brake where <del>MX and slider classes are combined</del> for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT552	4.2.6	MSA	<p>4.2.6 Competitions between Categories of Machine</p> <p>4.2.6.1 In respect of any competition:</p> <p>a. Unless otherwise provided for by these Rules or any relevant supplementary regulations, no two machines of a different category may compete in any event,</p> <p>b. No competition may be conducted under these Rules between a motorcycle and any other vehicle</p>	<p>4.2.6 Competitions between Categories of Machine</p> <p>4.2.6.1 In respect of any competition:</p> <p>a. Unless otherwise provided for by these Rules or any relevant supplementary regulations, no two machines of a different category (as defined in 1.1.0.21) may compete in any event</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any promoter proposing events that seek to have two or more different categories of machine compete must be subject to the requirements of 'Alternate forms of Competition' and if approved, permitted accordingly.</li> <li>• Combination of Senior classes, of the same category, within disciplines, is the responsibility of the RCB.</li> </ul> <p>b. No competition may be conducted under these Rules between a motorcycle and any other vehicles</p> <p>c. 50cc Demo (Non-competitive) class may have different categories participate on track at the same time subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No more than two categories on track at one time (solo, sidecar, quad)</li> <li>• No more than 10 bikes on track at one time.</li> </ul>	Commission believes current rule 4.2.6 is adequate and makes no further recommendation.	
DT553	21.4	Alan Garvey	<p>Rule 21.4 Pro Open Class</p> <p>“ Pro Open ( MX and Slider) “</p>	<p>Rule 21.4 Pro Open Class</p> <p>Pro Open ( MX and Slider) The words MX and Slider need to be Bolded in the current Track GCRs to prevent confusion as it is a rule change for 2014.</p>	<b>Commission acknowledges this feedback and agrees with this recommendation.</b>	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT554		Peter Baker	To modify the clause referring to the scheduling of the AUSTRALIAN JUNIOR DIRT TRACK CHAMPIONSHIPS.	Amend 20.0.0.2 to read that it should be held where possible" in a School Holiday period" To give more scope to clubs when applying for the championship.	<p><b>Commission supports this proposal and recommends change to MoMS as follows:</b></p> <p>20.0.0.1 The Australian Junior Dirt Track Championship shall where possible be conducted in <del>a the</del> <del>July</del> school holidays <del>period</del>.</p>	
DT555		Peter Baker	To give more scope to clubs when applying for the championship.	The Australian Junior Track Championships should be staged, where possible, in a School Holiday period. A similar clause is included for the Junior Dirt Track Championships.	<p><b>Commission supports this proposal and recommends change to MoMS as follows:</b></p> <p><b>21.0.0.4 The Australian Junior Track championship shall where possible be held in a school holiday period.</b></p>	



Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT556		Peter Baker	Re scheduling of the AUSTRALIAN SENIOR TRACK CHAMPIONSHIPS.	<p>To amend clause 21.0.0.1 to read that the Australian Senior Track Championships should be staged, where possible, as a single meeting. While a single meeting would always be the preferred option, this amendment could allow at least some classes to experience championship racing in a given year, or for two meetings to be staged with each featuring some classes.</p> <p>There have been few parties interested in staging this championship in recent years, so this amendment would allow consideration of submissions to stage championships for some classes and may create more clubs being interested.</p>	<p><b>Commission supports this proposal in principle and recommends the following wording be included:</b></p> <p>21.0.0.1 The Australian Senior Track Championship will be conducted as a single meeting at a venue selected by the Dirt Track Commission. <b>Alternative proposals may be considered.</b></p>	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT557			<p>Re scheduling of the AUSTRALIAN SENIOR and JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS for both disciplines.</p>	<p>To formulate a 5-year plan with championship meetings allocated, to a state, for the period 2015 to 2019 incl.</p> <p>Allocations would take in to account the number of competitors and tracks in the states and once a State was allocated the championship for a given year then submissions would be called from clubs / promoters within that State in accordance with existing provisions. Scheduling of meetings appears to be one of the major concerns among competitors.</p> <p>MA should take the lead by having Australian Championships locked in, as early as possible, to allow state bodies to then schedule State championships, followed by open meetings etc. as a natural flow-on.</p> <p>By allocating an event to a State in a particular year it will provide lead time for interested parties to plan well ahead.</p> <p>States will also have the incentive to increase their involvement in the allocation of championship meetings in the next bracket of five years.</p>	<p><b>Commission supports this recommendation and requests that MA call for Expressions of Interest for each title to be held over the next five years. Commission can use these to formulate a plan for the period.</b></p> <p><b>Eols should be open for a period of three months and be advertised in the MA newsletter.</b></p>	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT558	21.4.	Dean McNamar a	21.4 senior comp classes Under 19 Pro 250 Pro 450 Pro open ( mx slider) Pro open women	Propose 21.4 senior comp classes Under 19 (mx frame only) Pro 250 (mx frame only) Pro 450(mx frame only) Pro open ( mx frame only) Pro open women (mx frame only)	<p>Commission recommendation as per DT550 below:  <b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 523 1727 646"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1442 523 1585 555">CLASSES</th> <th data-bbox="1585 523 1727 555">CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 555 1585 646">**Under 19</td> <td data-bbox="1585 555 1727 646">150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows:  Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above.  <b>All machines must have one effective brake where MX and slider classes are combined for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</b></p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT559	21.4.01	Dean McNamara	21.4.0.1 Where there are insufficient entries for the 250cc Slider class, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. Machines must have one effective brake for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes. Brakes are not fitted for the 500cc slider class.	Delete rule MX machines should only race MX machines, slider machines should only race Slider machines, if there are not enough entries in either class then they may be put together in one race. By having MX and Slider machines racing against each other creates unsafe races. I think it is a detriment to the sport, as we know the growth in the sport is MX frames. Riders are not turning up to meeting because of the safety factor, they are not willing to take the risk. Give sliders their own class.	<p>Commission recommendation as per DT550 below:</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 555 1727 676"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1442 555 1585 584">CLASSES</th> <th data-bbox="1585 555 1727 584">CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 584 1585 676">**Under 19</td> <td data-bbox="1585 584 1727 676">150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows: Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. All machines must have one effective brake <del>where MX and slider classes are combined for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</del></p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision						
DT560	Email from Cameron Wyatt	Cameron Wyatt			<p>Commission recommendation as per DT547 below.            Commission does not support recommendation in relation to minimum participants for Australian championships.</p> <p><b>Commission supports the introduction of this class into 20.1, 21.1, 21.4 and 20.4.</b></p> <p><b>Viability of class is recommended for review at end of 2016.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 676 1727 898"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 676 1583 727">Pro Open (MX &amp; Slider)</td> <td data-bbox="1583 676 1727 727">Unlimited</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 727 1583 847"><b>MX Open</b></td> <td data-bbox="1583 727 1727 847"><b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 847 1583 898">**500cc Slider</td> <td data-bbox="1583 847 1727 898">Up to 500cc Slider</td> </tr> </table>	Pro Open (MX & Slider)	Unlimited	<b>MX Open</b>	<b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b>	**500cc Slider	Up to 500cc Slider	
Pro Open (MX & Slider)	Unlimited											
<b>MX Open</b>	<b>500cc 2-stroke &amp; over 460cc 4-stroke</b>											
**500cc Slider	Up to 500cc Slider											

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT561	21.1	Brett Lever	<p>Pro Open Unlimited (MX and Slider)  **500cc Slider Up to 500cc Slider</p> <p>21.1.0.1 ....Brakes are not fitted for the 500cc slider class</p> <p>21.16.11.3 Brakes must not be fitted to track slider type machines of 500cc. All other solo classes must be fitted with at least one effective brake.</p>	<p>That 500cc Slider machines be permitted to take part in the Pro Open class if a brake is fitted.</p>	<p>Commission recommendation as per DT550:</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1442 555 1727 676"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1442 555 1585 584">CLASSES</th> <th data-bbox="1585 555 1727 584">CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1442 584 1585 676">**Under 19</td> <td data-bbox="1585 584 1727 676">150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows:  Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above.  <b>All machines must have one effective brake where MX and slider classes are combined for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</b></p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT562	21.16.11.3	Alan Garvey	<p>21.16.11.3 Brakes must not be fitted to track slider type machines of 500cc. All other solo classes must be fitted with at least one effective brake.</p> <p>NB. remove this rule from 21.16.11 Sidecar General</p>	<p>21.16.10.3 (new rule) 500 sliders can compete in the Pro Open Unlimited Class, together with MX based machines, provided at least one efficient brake is fitted. If a 500 Slider machine competes in the 500 Slider Class then any brakes fitted to that machine must be disconnected or removed. All other solo classes must be fitted with at least one effective brake.</p>	<p>Commission recommendation as per DT550 below. Commission notes that there is a problem with the location of 21.16.11.3 in the MoMS and recommends the rule be included in 21.16.10.1:</p> <p><b>21.16.11 Senior Solo</b></p> <p>21.16.11.1 Brakes <b>are not required for 500cc Track slider machines in the 500cc Slider class</b> must not be fitted to track slider type machines of 500cc. All other solo classes must be fitted with at least one effective brake.</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1444 1177 1729 1297"> <thead> <tr> <th>CLASSES</th> <th>CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>**Under 19</td> <td>150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows: Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. All machines must have one</p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision				
DT563	21.16.11	Neil Burston	In Pro 250 Pro 450 Pro Open machines must have two brakes	<p>That slider type machines be able to compete in Pro 250 Pro 450 &amp; Pro Open if they have one effective rear brake. For the 500 Slider Class any brakes fitted to that machine must be rendered non operational. For Dirt Track all bikes must have one effective rear brake. To increase competitor numbers and give Australian riders more chance of riding machines of the same type as used in the World Long track Championship.</p> <p>The existing rule is part of the reason for the demise of Long / Dirt Track racing in Australia.</p> <p>As a promoter it is far more spectacular watching a good close slider race than MX based machines.</p> <p>We are struggling to fill classes at present. I would like sliders who are the same engine capacity as the MX based bikes to be able to compete alongside these MX based machines</p>	<p>Commission recommendation as per DT550:</p> <p><b>Commission recommends that provision to allow sliders in the Under 19 class be removed. (asterisks deleted)</b></p> <p><b>20.1, 20.4, 21.1, 21.4:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1444 555 1727 676"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1444 555 1585 584">CLASSES</th> <th data-bbox="1585 555 1727 584">CAPACITIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1444 584 1585 676">**Under 19</td> <td data-bbox="1585 584 1727 676">150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Asterisks to be added to the Pro Open class and 21.1.0.1 &amp; 21.4.0.1 amended as follows: Where there are insufficient entries for the <del>250cc</del> slider classes, these machines may be combined with the events marked with asterisks in the table above. <b>All machines must have one effective brake where MX and slider classes are combined for the Under 19 and Pro 250 classes.</b></p> <p>Commission notes that there is a problem with the location of 21.16.11.3 in the MoMS and refers the issue back to MA.</p> <p>Commission recommends revision to the rule as follows:</p> <p><b>21.16.11 Senior Solo</b></p> <p>21.16.11.2 Brakes <b>are not required for 500cc</b></p>	CLASSES	CAPACITIES	**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke	
CLASSES	CAPACITIES									
**Under 19	150 2-stroke/250 4-stroke									



Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
					<p><b>Track slider machines in the 500cc Slider class must not be fitted to track slider type machines of 500cc.</b> All other solo classes must be fitted with at least one effective brake.</p>	
DT564	21.16.11	Neil Burston	Brakes must be fitted to machines except 500 sliders	No brakes be permitted on any machine in longtrack racing brakes to be as per speedway This rule was previously in place but was changed , we had full fields when the rule was like this	<b>Commission recommendation as per DT562.</b>	
DT565	4.2.13.3.	Paul Bray	There is no existing rule published limiting the duration of permitted events to 11pm.	<p>4.2.13.3 p) SR to include designated time that all competition will cease; including day/night events where competition activity will be limited to 11pm.</p> <p>Rationale Duty of care to riders, officials and volunteers to ensure that fatigue is not a contributing factor to incidents. This will also reduce the potential liability should a permitted event experience injury or incident after midnight and reported as second day of competition on a one-day permit.</p>	<p>Commission agrees that competition should not extend beyond late evening e.g 9-11pm.</p> <p>Commission recommends that the issues raised be managed between RCB and promoter/club on a case by case basis e.g. by limiting number of support classes in a single day event. Alternatives may include running events over two days.</p> <p>Commission does not believe the issue requires a rule change but does require appropriate management within existing rules.</p>	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
DT566	20.3.3.1	DT/TC	<p><b>20.3.1 Duke of Edinburgh Trophies</b></p> <p>20.3.3.1 The Duke of Edinburgh Trophies will be inscribed each year with the names of the winners of the highest capacity solo and sidecar Australian Championship for the following Australian Dirt Track Championships: <del>250cc 2 stroke &amp; 450cc 4 stroke Pro Open</del> 450 Solo and Up to 1100cc Dirt Track Sidecar.</p>	<p><b>20.3.1 Duke of Edinburgh Trophies</b></p> <p>20.3.3.1 The Duke of Edinburgh Trophies will be inscribed each year with the names of the winners of the <del>highest capacity solo and sidecar Australian Championship for the following</del> Australian Dirt Track Championships: Pro 450 Solo and Up to 1100cc Dirt Track Sidecar.</p>	<p><b>Commission supports this change to remove confusion arising from the current wording.</b></p> <p><b>20.3.1 Duke of Edinburgh Trophies</b></p> <p>20.3.3.1 The Duke of Edinburgh Trophies will be inscribed each year with the names of the winners of the <del>highest capacity solo and sidecar Australian Championship for the following</del> Australian Dirt Track Championships: Pro 450 Solo and Up to 1100cc Dirt Track Sidecar.</p>	
DT567	20.10.5 21.10.5	DT/TC	<p><b>20.10.5 Back Numbers: Seniors and Juniors</b></p> <p>20.10.5.1 No senior or junior may compete in any competition unless wearing the machine identification number on their back in contrasting colours and with a minimum size of 125mm height and 20mm width of stroke.</p>	<p><b>20.10.5 Back Numbers: Seniors and Juniors</b></p> <p>20.10.5.1 No senior or junior may compete in any competition unless wearing the machine identification number on their back in <del>contrasting colours and</del> with a minimum size of 125mm height and 20mm width of stroke. <b>Figures must be black on a white background.</b></p> <p>The reason for this proposed change is that many colour combinations currently being used are difficult to read.</p>	<p><b>Commission supports this recommendation for 20.10.5.1 and 21.10.5.1</b></p>	
DT568	Track length/guidelines	Keith Davies	Track guidelines around track length for junior track competition are required.	Minimum length 275 metres Maximum length 800 metres	Commission supports allowing for junior Track competition in the track length guidelines for	

Item No.	Issue	Raised By	Existing Rule	Proposed Rule Change & Rationale	Commission's Decision	MA Board Decision
			Track guidelines: 10.3.1 b) track length (junior) Min 275, max 450	This will allow for competition in the track discipline in a wider range of venues.	junior competition. Minimum length 275 metres, maximum length 800 metres.	
DT569	Applications to host Australian championships	Peter Baker		<p><b>Australian Championship event planning and promotion</b></p> <p>Planned event exposure and strategies for the promotion of the event need to be included in the application process as a requirement to get clubs thinking about how they are going to maximise exposure should they be awarded the championship.</p> <p>This should be in the form of an added field in the application form.</p> <p>Completed reporting template for event promotion should also be a post-event requirement to assist in the planning of future events.</p>	Commission supports this suggestion and recommends it to the MA Board for consideration.	

Distribution:

MA Board  
SCB's  
DT Commissioners

File: A10.03.2014  
Doc: 24011199